Criminal Appeal 501/90

CF0000057425

XII-189/(Jail)
C. J. P. R. 11/94/2,000

Cr. A. 501/90 (WIP) kept below file 413/96

(2)

APPEAL OF PRISONER

No. 1426/24 Name રાજનારાયણ

Father's name કેજારામ સાકિન કુદરકોટ પાસા મોચુર તહ મોહલા

Residence જિલા રાજકોટ Age 35 વર્ષ

Sentenced to આજીવન કારાવાસ On 7/3/90

Under section 302 IPC by અડીશનલ સેશન્સ જજ રાજકોટ

It is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by legal practitioner the Appellate Court will not proceed with the base for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may not heard at all. If the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1 Date of Application for copy of Judgement 7/3/90

2 Date on which copy received 30/8/95

3 Date on which Appeal sent 11/9/95

4 Whether the prisoner wishes to be represented or ~~not~~ – Yes / No

---

No. 1426/24 Name રાજનારાયણ ગોવિંદરામ

Confined in જોધપુર Jail સેન્ટ્રલ

No. 855/1-ગ Dated 4/11/95

Forwarded to the ~~CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE~~ એડીશનલ સેશન્સ જજ રાજકોટ

togather which a copy of judgement or order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appellate Court.

Superintendent
Jail

Date of receipt in office

Date of receipt record to accompany the

Memo of Appeal to the Appellate court

No Dated 199

Forwarded to the

Date of receipt in Appellate Court

High Court of Madhya Pradesh
JABALPUR
– 8 NOV 1995
Reg. No. 9100

(P. T. O.)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

26

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Division Bench : **Hon'ble Shri Fakhruddin, J &** **Hon'ble Shri Dilip Deshmukh, J** **28-06-2005** None for the appellant. Shri Sachin Singh Rajput, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. Shri Rajput, learned counsel for the State informs that the appellant **Sajanram** has been released from Central Jail, Raipur on 26-1-2003 on the eve of Republic Day upon special remission being granted. When the case is called neither the appellant nor his counsel is present. It is indicative of the fact that the appellant is not interested in pursuing his appeal any more. In the above circumstances and in the light of decision of the Apex Court rendered in the case of ***Ram Naresh Yadav and Others vs. State of Bihar*** reported in ***AIR 1987 SC 1500***, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	Sd/- Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh Judge
Gowri		

(पीछे देखिये)